Effect of Concentration of Contrast Medium on Coronary CT Angiography
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Objective: To compare concentration of contrast medium for vascular opacification at ascending aorta using retrospective reconstruction of coronary CT angiography.

Study design: Retrospective study.

Material and Method: Eighty-four patients undergoing coronary CT angiography with 16 multi-detector rows at Siriraj Hospital between September 2003 and July 2004 were included in this study. The patients were categorized into two groups. The first group was administrated with 350 mgI/ml contrast medium and the second group was administrated with 370 mgI/ml contrast medium. The total amount of contrast medium was about 140 ml (20 ml for timing bolus and 120 ml for CT angiography) in both groups. The CT density on peak contrast administration at ascending aorta was measured and compared between the two groups.

Results: The mean density at ascending aorta in 350 mgI/ml and 370 mgI/ml were 362.96 HU (SD 67.53) and 354.44 (SD 59.39), respectively. There was no statistically significant difference in mean density at the ascending aorta between the two groups.

Conclusion: Administration of contrast medium with different concentrations of 350 or 370 mgI/ml showed no statistical difference on enhancement of the ascending aorta in coronary CT angiography (p < 0.05).
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Coronary CT angiography (CTA) has become a study of choice for coronary imaging(1) in patients with known or suspected coronary artery disease by using multidetector row helical CT (MDCT) with retrospective reconstruction of imaging data[2,3]. A retrospective reconstruction is different from the conventional reconstruction of imaging data. However, there is very little raw data using the reconstructive reconstruction technique. The lower amount of data can be compensated by increasing amount of x-ray during scanning. Several protocols suggested that 370-400 mgI/ml[4,5] of contrast medium was appropriate for coronary CTA. Normally, the higher concentration of contrast medium is not only expensive but also has increased osmolarity. The purpose of the present study was to verify the effect of different iodine concentra-

tions on ascending aortic enhancement under the conditions of the same injection rate, retrospective reconstruction and time at MDCT using timing bolus technique.

Material and Method

Patient

This was a retrospective study in 84 patients undergoing coronary CT angiography with known or suspected coronary artery disease at Siriraj Hospital from September 2003 to July 2004. The inclusion criteria were stable angina pectoris, a stable heart rhythm less than 80 beats per minute, and ability to hold breath for 20 seconds. Exclusion criteria were previous allergic reaction to iodinated contrast medium, renal impairment (serum creatinine level more than 120 umol/L), pregnancy, pronounced heart failure, respiratory failure, poor general condition, irregular heart beat (e.g. premature ventricular contraction, atrial fibrillation,
supraventricular tachycardia) and technical failure. All patients had written informed consent. Upon review of the CT images, 17 patients were excluded because technical failure scanning became apparent. Then the 84 enrolled patients were intervened a contrast medium of 350 mgI/ml (group A) or 370 mgI/ml (group B) of iodine concentrations.

**CT scanning**
The routine CT angiography was performed in all patients with 16 slices multidetector CT (MDCT) using 0.625 mm interval from 1 cm just below the carina to the base of the heart or 1.25 mm interval from the supraclavicular region to the base of the heart for patients who had a history of coronary bypass graft. The radiation exposure was about 120 kV, 440 mA. The scan time ranged from 18-25 seconds. The reconstruction of images was retrospective reconstruction depending on heart rate.

**Contrast medium**
The contrast medium of 350 mgI/ml and 370 mgI/ml was used in group A and group B, respectively. The total amount of contrast medium was the same in all groups (120 ml for scanning plus 20 ml for timing bolus). The contrast medium was intravenously administrated with single syringe power injector via antecubital or wrist veins using a 20 or 22 gauge catheter. The scanning delay was determined by testing contrast medium about 20 ml. The peak concentration was measured at the ascending aorta just below the carina 1 cm using a circular region-of-interest (ROI) (Fig. 1, 2). Then coronary scanning was performed after delay times and a bolus of 120 ml of contrast medium injection.

**Statistical analysis**
Descriptive statistics were to describe the continuous variables. The peak concentration of contrast medium was measured in mean Hounsfield unit (HU) at the ascending aorta by placing ROI at first reconstruction image. The differences between the two groups were compared by unpaired t-test. The differences were considered significant when p-value was less than 0.05.

**Results**
The characteristic of 84 patients were described in Table 1. The mean density at the ascending aorta of males and females in group A was 354.64 ± 55.7 (range 260.95-508.22) and 374.80 ± 77.1 (range 283.70-584.33), respectively. The mean density at the ascending aorta of males and females in group B was 336.05 ± 37.7 (range 291.16-401.46) and 391.23 ± 77.5 (range 279.12-496.80) respectively (Table 2). There were no significant differences in gender.
Table 1. Characteristic of 84 patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (n = 66)</th>
<th>Group B (n = 18)</th>
<th>Total (n = 84)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>64.3 ± 12.8</td>
<td>60.6 ± 10.4</td>
<td>63.5 ± 12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>15-89</td>
<td>39-84</td>
<td>15-89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Contrast density at ascending aorta: The group A is concentration of contrast medium 350 mgI/ml and group B is concentration of contrast medium 370 mgI/ml. The patients were deviated by sex and concentration of contrast medium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (n = 66)</th>
<th>Group B (n = 18)</th>
<th>Total (n = 84)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>354.64 ± 55.7</td>
<td>336.05 ± 37.7</td>
<td>350.09 ± 52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>374.80 ± 77.1</td>
<td>391.23 ± 75.5</td>
<td>377.61 ± 77.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>362.96 ± 67.53</td>
<td>354.44 ± 59.39</td>
<td>361.13 ± 65.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean enhancement of ascending aorta in the HU was 362.96 ± 67.53 in group A and 354.44 ± 59.39 in group B (Fig. 3) with no statistical significance (p > 0.05).

Discussion

Coronary CT angiography has become the CT technique for excluding coronary artery disease. The retrospective reconstruction is different from the conventional method. The conventional CT and spiral CT have completely 360 degrees of data acquisition for conventional reconstruction but retrospective technique for coronary CT angiography has only 270 degrees, so the data acquisitions are limited for retrospective reconstruction. The concentration of contrast should be concerned for coronary CT angiography because of two reasons; the first one is the very small size of the coronary artery about 2-3 millimeters that needs more contrast for visualization (Fig. 2) and the second one is fewer amounts of data acquisition for reconstruction that need more contrast for data compensation. In addition, the retrospective reconstruction, the concentration of contrast may interfere with CT density in vascular structures. The authors believe that the lower concentration of contrast should yield the same coronary CT images the same as higher concentration.

The ascending aorta is the easiest part of vascular structures for comparison of the effect of different contrast medium concentrations on this retrospective reconstruction of coronary CT angiography. The first image of scanning is at the peak of contrast following calculating timing bolus curve, so the first image is proved to be the maximum concentration of contrast in the present study. There is no statistical difference between two iodine concentrations at the
ascending aorta (p < 0.05). Thus, the higher concentration of contrast medium (370 mgI/ml) does not produce more enhancements at the ascending aorta.

The previous comparison study for two iodine concentrations in abdominal aorta, portal vein and liver demonstrated no significant difference between iodine concentrations of 300 and 370 mgI/ml\(^8,9\). In patients with cirrhosis, an increased concentration of iodine improves liver-to-lesion contrast and might improve the detection of hepatocellular carcinoma\(^10\). There was a recent report\(^11\) that the rapid administration of moderate concentration of contrast medium was more effective than that of high concentration of contrast medium.

However, Flippo et al\(^12\) showed that the increasing iodine concentration yielded proportionally higher vascular attenuation. Awai et al\(^13\) recently reported that significantly higher aortic enhancement was obtained with a 370 mgI/ml material than with 300 mgI/ml.

Becker et al\(^14\) considered attenuation of 250-300 HU to be optimal for coronary CT angiography, so both concentrations of contrast medium in the present study were enough for vascular enhancement (mean 362.96 for 350mgI/ml and 354.44 mgI/ml for 370 mgI/ml). The lower concentration of contrast medium has several advantages such as being less expensive and having less osmolarity.

Ideally, the best way to assess the efficacy of contrast medium on coronary artery should be to measure attenuation on each branch of coronary from the proximal part to the distal part. The presence of stenosis or occluded vessels can affect enhancement of the coronary artery, particularly on the distal part.

**Conclusion**

Administration of contrast medium with an iodine concentration of 350 or 370 mgI/ml had the same effect on enhancement of ascending aorta in retrospective reconstruction images of coronary CT angiography. The lower concentration of contrast gains popularity of lower cost, less osmolarity and less toxicity.
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วัตถุประสงค์: เพื่อศึกษาเปรียบเทียบความเข้มข้นของ contrast medium ในหลอดเลือดแดงใหญ่ส่วน ascending aorta ในการตรวจด้วยเอกซเรย์คอมพิวเตอร์หลอดเลือดหัวใจ

รูปแบบการศึกษา: การศึกษาแบบย้อนหลัง

วัสดุและวิธีการ: ผู้ป่วยจำนวน 84 รายที่มีอาการสงสัยว่าจะมีโรคหลอดเลือดหัวใจ ซึ่งได้รับการตรวจด้วยเอกซเรย์คอมพิวเตอร์หลอดเลือดหัวใจที่โรงพยาบาลวลัยลักษณ์ ตั้งแต่กันยายน พ.ศ. 2546 จนถึง กรกฎาคม พ.ศ. 2547 ผู้ป่วยเหล่านี้ได้รับ contrast medium ที่แตกต่างกัน 2 ความเข้มข้น กลุ่มแรกได้รับ contrast medium ที่มีความเข้มข้น 350 mgI/mL กลุ่มที่สองได้รับ contrast medium ที่มีความเข้มข้น 370 mgI/mL โดยทั้งสองกลุ่มได้รับปริมาณ contrast medium เท่ากันประมาณ 140 มิลลิลิตร (20 ml สำหรับวัดค่าเวลาที่ contrast medium เข้าสู่ตำแหน่งที่ต้องการ และ 120 ml สำหรับใช้ตรวจจริง) จากนั้นจึงทำการวัดค่าความเข้มข้นที่หลอดเลือดแดงใหญ่ส่วน ascending aorta ระหว่าง 2 กลุ่ม

ผลการศึกษา: จากการศึกษาพบว่า ความเข้มข้นของ contrast medium ที่ 2 กลุ่มมีค่าเท่ากัน คือ 362.96HU (SD 67.53) และ 354.44 (SD 59.39) ตามลำดับ ซึ่งไม่พบมีความแตกต่างกันอย่างมีนัยสำคัญทางสถิติ

สรุป: ความเข้มข้นของหลอดเลือดแดงใหญ่ส่วน ascending aorta ตัวกว้างใช้ contrast medium ทั้งสองชนิดในการตรวจเอกซเรย์คอมพิวเตอร์หลอดเลือดหัวใจ ไม่พบมีความแตกต่างกันอย่างมีนัยสำคัญทางสถิติ